
**Metallic materials — Verification of static
uniaxial testing machines —**

Part 1:

**Tension/compression testing
machines — Verification and calibration
of the force-measuring system**

*Matériaux métalliques — Vérification des machines pour essais
statiques uniaxiaux*

*Partie 1: Machines d'essai de traction/compression — Vérification et
étalonnage du système de mesure de force*



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO 2004

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols and their meanings	2
5 General inspection of the testing machine	2
6 Calibration of the force-measuring system of the testing machine	3
6.1 General	3
6.2 Determination of the resolution	3
6.3 Prior determination of the relative resolution of the force indicator	4
6.4 Calibration procedure	4
6.5 Assessment of the force indicator	7
7 Class of testing machine range	8
8 Verification report	8
8.1 General	8
8.2 General information	8
8.3 Results of verification	9
9 Intervals between verifications	9
Annex A (normative) General inspection of the testing machine	10
Annex B (informative) Inspection of the loading platens of the compression testing machines	11
Annex C (informative) Alternative method of testing machine classification	12
Annex D (informative) Uncertainty of the calibration results of the force-measuring system	13
Bibliography	17

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 7500-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 1, *Uniaxial testing*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 7500-1:1999) which has been technically revised.

ISO 7500 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Metallic materials — Verification of static uniaxial testing machines*:

- *Part 1: Tension/compression testing machines — Verification and calibration of the force-measuring system*
- *Part 2: Tension creep testing machines — Verification of the applied load*

Metallic materials — Verification of static uniaxial testing machines —

Part 1: Tension/compression testing machines — Verification and calibration of the force-measuring system

1 Scope

This part of ISO 7500 specifies the verification of tension/compression testing machines.

The verification consists of

- a general inspection of the testing machine, including its accessories for the force application;
- a calibration of the force-measuring system.

NOTE This part of ISO 7500 addresses the static verification of the force-measuring systems. The calibration values are not necessarily valid for high-speed or dynamic testing applications. Further information regarding dynamic effects is given in the Bibliography.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 376, *Metallic materials — Calibration of force-proving instruments used for the verification of uniaxial testing machines*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following term and definition apply.

3.1 calibration

set of operations that establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values of quantities indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material measure or a reference material, and the corresponding values realized by standards

See VIM^[1].

NOTE 1 The result of a calibration permits either the assignment of values of measurands to the indications or the determination of corrections with respect to indications.

NOTE 2 A calibration may also determine other metrological properties such as the effect of influence quantities.

NOTE 3 The result of a calibration may be recorded in a document, sometimes called a calibration certificate or a calibration report.

4 Symbols and their meanings

Symbols and their meanings are given in Table 1.

Table 1 — Symbols and their meanings

Symbol	Unit	Meaning
a	%	Relative resolution of the force indicator of the testing machine
b	%	Relative repeatability error of the force-measuring system of the testing machine
f_0	%	Relative zero error of the force-measuring system of the testing machine
F	N	True force indicated by the force-proving instrument with increasing test force
F'	N	True force indicated by the force-proving instrument with decreasing test force
F_c	N	True force indicated by the force-proving instrument with increasing test force, for the complementary series of measurements for the smallest range used
F_i	N	Force indicated by the force indicator of the testing machine to be verified, with increasing test force
F'_i	N	Force indicated by the force indicator of the testing machine to be verified, with decreasing test force
$\overline{F_i}, \overline{F}$	N	Arithmetic mean of several measurements of F_i and F for the same discrete force
$F_{i\max}, F_{i\min}$	N	Highest or lowest value of F_i or F for the same discrete force
F_{\max}, F_{\min}		
F_{ic}	N	Force reading on the force indicator of the testing machine to be verified, with increasing test force, for the complementary series of measurements for the smallest range used
F_{i0}	N	Residual indication of the force indicator of the testing machine to be verified after removal of force
F_N	N	Maximum capacity of the measuring range of the force indicator of the testing machine
g_n	m/s ²	Local acceleration due to gravity
q	%	Relative accuracy error of the force-measuring system of the testing machine
r	N	Resolution of the force indicator of the testing machine
v	%	Relative reversibility error of the force-measuring system of the testing machine
ρ_{air}	kg/m ³	Density of air
ρ_m	kg/m ³	Density of the dead weights

5 General inspection of the testing machine

The verification of the testing machine shall only be carried out if the machine is in good working order. For this purpose, a general inspection of the machine shall be carried out before calibration of the force-measuring system of the machine (see Annex A).

NOTE Good metrological practice requires a calibration run prior to any maintenance or adjustments to the testing machine.

6 Calibration of the force-measuring system of the testing machine

6.1 General

This calibration shall be carried out for each of the force ranges used and with all force indicators in use. Any accessory devices (e.g. pointer, recorder) that may affect the force-measuring system shall, where used, be verified in accordance with 6.4.6.

If the testing machine has several force-measuring systems, each system shall be regarded as a separate testing machine. The same procedure shall be followed for double-piston hydraulic machines.

The calibration shall be carried out using force-proving instruments with the following exception. If the force to be verified is below the lower limit of the smallest capacity force-proving device used in the calibration procedure, use known masses.

When more than one force-proving instrument is required to calibrate a force range, the maximum force applied to the smaller device shall be the same as the minimum force applied to the next force-proving instrument of higher capacity. When a set of known masses is used to verify forces, the set shall be considered as a single force-proving instrument.

The calibration should be carried out with constant indicated forces, F_i . When this method is not feasible, the calibration can be carried out with constant true forces.

NOTE 1 Calibration can be carried out using a slowly increasing force. The word "constant" signifies that the same value of F_i (or F) is used for the three series of measurements (see 6.4.5).

The instruments used for the calibration shall have a certified traceability to the international system of units.

The force-proving instrument shall comply with the requirements specified in ISO 376. The class of the instrument shall be equal to or better than the class for which the testing machine is to be calibrated. In the case of dead weights, the relative error of the force generated by these weights shall be less than or equal to $\pm 0,1\%$.

NOTE 2 The exact equation giving the force, F , in newtons, created by the dead weight of mass m , in kilograms, is:

$$F = mg_n \left[1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{air}}}{\rho_m} \right] \quad (1)$$

This force can be calculated using the following approximate formula:

$$F = mg_n \quad (2)$$

The relative error of the force can be calculated, using the formula:

$$\frac{\Delta F}{F} = \frac{\Delta m}{m} + \frac{\Delta g_n}{g_n} \quad (3)$$

6.2 Determination of the resolution

6.2.1 Analogue scale

The thickness of the graduation marks on the scale shall be uniform and the width of the pointer shall be approximately equal to the width of a graduation mark.

The resolution, r , of the indicator shall be obtained from the ratio between the width of the pointer and the centre-to-centre distance between two adjacent scale graduation marks (scale interval). The recommended ratios are 1:2, 1:5 or 1:10, a spacing of 2,5 mm or greater being required for the determination of one-tenth of a scale division.

6.2.2 Digital scale

The resolution is taken to be one increment of the count of the numerical indicator, provided that, when the instrument is unloaded and the motors and controls system are operating, the indication does not fluctuate by more than one increment.

6.2.3 Variation of readings

If the readings vary by more than the value previously calculated for the resolution (with the calibration of the force-indicating instrument unloaded and with the motor and/or drive mechanism and control on for determining the sum of all electrical noise), the resolution, r , shall be deemed to be equal to half the range of fluctuation plus one increment.

NOTE 1 This only determines the resolution due to system noise and does not account for control errors, i.e., in the case of hydraulic machines.

NOTE 2 For auto-ranging machines, the resolution of the indicator changes as the resolution or gain of the system changes.

6.2.4 Unit

The resolution, r , shall be expressed in units of force.

6.3 Prior determination of the relative resolution of the force indicator

The relative resolution, a , of the force indicator is defined by the relationship:

$$a = \frac{r}{F} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where

r is the resolution defined in 6.2;

F is the force at the point under consideration.

The relative resolution shall be determined at each calibration point and shall not exceed the values given in Table 2 for the class of machine being verified.

6.4 Calibration procedure

6.4.1 Alignment of the force-proving instrument

Mount tension force-proving instruments in the machine in such a way as to minimize any effects of bending (see ISO 376). For the alignment of a force-proving instrument in the compression mode, mount a platen with a ball nut on the instrument if the machine does not have an incorporated ball cup.

NOTE If the machine has two work areas with a common force application and indicating device, one calibration could be performed, so that e.g., compression in the upper work area equals tension in the lower work area, and vice versa. The certificate should carry an appropriate comment.

6.4.2 Temperature compensation

The calibration shall be carried out at an ambient temperature of between 10 °C and 35 °C. The temperature at which the calibration is carried out shall be noted in the verification report.

A sufficient period of time shall be provided to allow the force-proving instrument to reach a stable period of temperature. The temperature of the force-proving instrument shall remain stable to within ± 2 °C during each calibration run. If necessary, temperature corrections shall be applied to the readings (see ISO 376).

6.4.3 Conditioning of the testing machine

The machine, with the force-proving instrument in position, shall be loaded at least three times between zero and the maximum force to be measured.

6.4.4 Procedure

The following method should be used: a given force, F_i , indicated by the force indicator of the machine, is applied to the machine and the true force, F , indicated by the force-proving instrument, is noted.

If it is not possible to use this method, the true force, F , indicated by the force-proving instrument, is applied to the machine and the force, F_i , indicated by the force indicator of the verified machine, is noted.

6.4.5 Application of discrete forces

Three series of measurements shall be taken with increasing force. For machines applying not more than five discrete levels of force, each value of relative error shall not exceed the values given in Table 2 for a specific class. For machines applying more than five discrete levels of force, each series of measurements shall comprise at least five discrete force levels at approximately equal intervals between 20 % and 100 % of the maximum range of the scale.

If a calibration is conducted at a force below 20 % of the range, supplementary force measurements shall be made at approximately 10 %, 5 %, 2 %, 1 %, 0,5 %, 0,2 % and 0,1 % of the scale down to and including the lower limit of calibration.

NOTE 1 The lower limit of the range can be determined by multiplying the resolution, r , by:

- 400 for class 0,5;
- 200 for class 1;
- 100 for class 2;
- 67 for class 3.

For testing machines with auto-ranging indicators, at least two force steps shall be applied on each part of the range where the resolution does not change.

NOTE 2 The force-proving instrument may be rotated through an angle of 120° before each series of measurements and a preload run undertaken.

For each discrete force, the arithmetic mean of the values obtained for each series of measurements shall be calculated. From these mean values, the relative accuracy error and the relative repeatability error of the force-measuring system of the testing machine shall be calculated (see 6.5).

The indicator reading shall be set to zero before each series of measurements. The zero reading shall be taken approximately 30 s after the force is completely removed. In the case of an analogue indicator, it shall also be checked that the pointer balances freely around zero and, if a digital indicator is used, that any drop below zero is immediately registered, for example by a sign indicator (+ or -).

The relative zero error of each series calculated shall be noted using the following equation:

$$f_0 = \frac{F_{i0}}{F_N} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

6.4.6 Verification of accessories

The good working order and resistance due to friction of the mechanical accessory devices (pointer, recorder) shall be verified by one of the following methods according to whether the machine is normally used with or without accessories:

- a) machine normally used with the accessories: three series of measurements shall be made with increasing force (see 6.4.5) with the accessories connected for each force-measuring range used and one complementary series of measurements, without accessories, for the smallest range used.
- b) machine normally used without accessories: three series of measurements shall be made with increasing force (see 6.4.5) with the accessories disconnected for each force-measuring range used and one complementary series of measurements with the accessories connected for the smallest range used.

In both cases the relative accuracy error, q , shall be calculated for the three normal series of measurements, and the relative repeatability error, b , shall be calculated from the four series. The values obtained for b and q shall conform to those listed in Table 2 for the class under consideration, and the following further conditions shall be satisfied:

— for calibration with constant indicated force:

$$100 \left| \frac{F_i - F_c}{F_c} \right| < 1,5 |q| \tag{6}$$

— for calibration with constant true force:

$$100 \left| \frac{F_{ic} - F}{F} \right| < 1,5 |q| \tag{7}$$

NOTE In the equations, the value of q is the maximum permissible value given in Table 2 for the class under consideration.

6.4.7 Verification of the effect of differences in piston positions

For hydraulic machines, where the hydraulic pressure at the actuator is used to measure the test force, the influence of a difference in position of the piston shall be verified for the smallest measuring range of the machine used, during the three series of measurements (see 6.4.5). The position of the piston shall be different for each series of measurements.

NOTE In the case of a double-piston hydraulic machine, it is necessary to consider both pistons.

6.4.8 Determination of relative reversibility error

When required, the relative reversibility error, v , shall be determined by carrying out a calibration at the same discrete levels of force, first with increasing force levels and then with decreasing force levels. In this case, the machine shall also be calibrated with decreasing force.


The difference between the values obtained with increasing force and with decreasing force enables the relative reversibility error to be calculated (see Figure 1), using the following equation:

$$v = \frac{F - F'}{F} \times 100 \tag{8}$$

or, for the particular case of the calibration carried out with a constant true force:

$$v = \frac{F'_i - F_i}{F} \times 100 \tag{9}$$

This determination shall be carried out for the lowest and highest force ranges of the testing machine.



X True force
Y Force reading on the force indicator

Figure 1 — Schematic diagram for the determination of reversibility

6.5 Assessment of the force indicator

6.5.1 Relative accuracy error

The relative accuracy error expressed as a percentage of the mean true force, \bar{F} , is given by the equation:

$$q = \frac{F_i - \bar{F}}{\bar{F}} \times 100 \quad (10)$$

For the particular case of the calibration being carried out with a constant true force, the relative accuracy error is given by the equation:

$$q = \frac{\bar{F}_i - F}{F} \times 100 \quad (11)$$

6.5.2 Relative repeatability error

The relative repeatability error, b , for each discrete force, is the difference between the highest and lowest measured values with respect to the average. It is given by the equation:

$$b = \frac{F_{\max} - F_{\min}}{\bar{F}} \times 100 \quad (12)$$

For the particular case of calibration carried out with a constant true force, the relative repeatability error is given by the equation:

$$b = \frac{F_{imax} - F_{imin}}{F} \times 100 \tag{13}$$

6.5.3 Agreement between two force-proving instruments

When two force-proving instruments are required to calibrate a measuring range and the same nominal force is separately applied to both (see 6.1), the magnitude of the difference between the relative accuracy errors obtained with each instrument shall not exceed 1,5 times the magnitude of the repeatability corresponding to the class of machine given in Table 2, i.e. $q_1 - q_2 < 1,5b$.

7 Class of testing machine range

Table 2 gives the maximum permissible values for the different relative errors of the force-measuring system and for the relative resolution of the force indicator, which characterize a testing machine range in accordance with the appropriate class.

A measuring range on the force indicator shall only be considered to conform if the inspection is satisfactory for the range of measurement at least between 20 % and 100 % of the nominal range.

Table 2 — Characteristic values of the force-measuring system

Class of machine range	Maximum permissible value %				
	Relative error of				Relative resolution <i>a</i>
	accuracy <i>q</i>	repeatability <i>b</i>	reversibility ^a <i>v</i>	zero <i>f₀</i>	
0,5	± 0,5	0,5	± 0,75	± 0,05	0,25
1	± 1,0	1,0	± 1,5	± 0,1	0,5
2	± 2,0	2,0	± 3,0	± 0,2	1,0
3	± 3,0	3,0	± 4,5	± 0,3	1,5

^a According to 6.4.8, the relative reversibility error is only determined when required.

8 Verification report

8.1 General

The verification report shall contain at least the following information.

8.2 General information

- a) reference to this part of ISO 7500, i.e. ISO 7500-1;
- b) identification of the testing machine (manufacturer, type, year of manufacture if known, serial number) and, if applicable, specific identification of the force indicator (mark, type, serial number);
- c) location of the machine;

- d) type, class and reference number of the force-proving instrument used, calibration certificate number and expiration date of the certificate;
- e) calibration temperature;
- f) date of verification;
- g) name or mark of the verifying authority.

8.3 Results of verification

The results of verification shall mention:

- a) any anomaly found during the general inspection;
- b) for each force-measuring system used, the mode of calibration (tension, compression, tension/compression), the class of each range calibrated and, if requested, the discrete values of relative errors of accuracy, repeatability, reversibility zero and resolution;
- c) the lower limit of each range to which the assessment applies.

9 Intervals between verifications

The time between two verifications depends on the type of testing machine, the standard of maintenance and the amount of use. Unless otherwise specified, it is recommended that verification be carried out at intervals not exceeding 12 months.

The machine shall in any case be verified if it is moved to a new location necessitating dismantling or if it is subject to major repairs or adjustments.

Annex A (normative)

General inspection of the testing machine

General

The general inspection of the testing machine (see Clause 5) shall be carried out before the calibration of the force-measuring system and shall comprise the following.

A.2 Visual examination

The visual examination shall verify

- a) that the machine is in good working order and not adversely affected by certain aspects of its general condition, such as
 - pronounced wear or defects in the guiding elements of the moving crosshead or grips;
 - looseness in the columns' mountings and in the fixed crosshead;
- b) that the machine is not affected by environmental conditions (vibrations, electrical supply interferences, effects of corrosion, local temperature variations, etc);
- c) that the masses are correctly identifiable, if detachable mass pendulum devices are used.

A.3 Inspection of the structure of the machine

A check shall be made to ensure that the structure and gripping systems permit the force to be applied axially.

A.4 Inspection of the crosshead drive mechanism

It shall be verified that the crosshead drive mechanism permits a uniform and smooth variation of force and can enable various discrete forces to be obtained with sufficient accuracy.

NOTE The drive mechanism should enable the deformation rates of the test piece required to determine the specified mechanical properties.

Annex B (informative)

Inspection of the loading platens of the compression testing machines

Loading platens are either permanently installed in the machine or they are specific components of the testing machine.

It should be verified that the loading platens perform their function in accordance with the requirements of the testing machine.

Unless other requirements are specified in certain test standards, the maximum flatness deviation should be 0,01 mm measured over 100 mm.

When the platen is made of steel, the hardness should be greater than or equal to 55 HRC.

For machines used for testing specimens sensitive to bending stresses, it should be checked whether the upper platen is carried in a cup and ball seat which, in the unloaded state, is practically without play and easy to adjust to an angle of up to approximately 3°.

Annex C (informative)

Alternative method of testing machine classification

This alternative method of classifying testing machines is based on the global error concept which requires all values (not only the average) to be within certain limits.

The accuracy error of the testing machine is determined as a percentage of the force applied or indicated by the testing machine. Using the symbols given in Table 1, the relative error is calculated as follows:

$$q = \frac{F_i - F}{F} \times 100 \quad (\text{C.1})$$

The repeatability error is determined based on the definition for repeatability in OIML vocabulary^[2], where only one variable has to be changed and this variable is another application of approximately the same force. In this case, the repeatability calculation, which determines the accuracy of the testing machine, is from one application of force to another of approximately equal level. It is recommended that two applications of approximately the same force level be needed to calculate the repeatability and that the repeatability is calculated from the algebraic difference between accuracy errors:

$$b = |q_1 - q_2| \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the relative errors for each force application.

Since the second application of the force does not have to be identical to the first, the variables associated with operator skills or parameters of the machine control do not influence the repeatability of the accuracy of the force measurement.

The classification of the testing machine given in Table 2 does not change, only the method of calculating the accuracy and repeatability changes. The use of this method makes it easier to automate the calibration process.

NOTE If this alternative method is used, reference to its use should be noted on the report.

Annex D (informative)

Uncertainty of the calibration results of the force-measuring system

D.1 Introduction

It is possible to calculate the uncertainty of the force-measuring system, at the time of calibration, either from the specification limits or from the readings obtained. These calculations are detailed in the following sections.

Since the accuracy error, as a known bias, is usually not corrected during calibration, if it falls within specifications of Table 2, the range within which the estimated relative error, E , could reasonably be expected to lie, should be $E = q \pm U$, where q is the relative accuracy error defined in 6.5.1 and U is the expanded uncertainty [3].

D.2 Incremental forces

D.2.1 Estimate of the relative mean error

The best estimate of the relative mean error in the force indicated by the testing machine is q , the relative accuracy error. Associated with this estimate of the relative mean error is an expanded uncertainty, U , given by:

$$U = k \times u_c = k \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

where

k is the coverage factor;

u_c is the combined uncertainty;

u_1 to u_n are the relevant standard uncertainties.

u_1 to u_n include terms related to repeatability, resolution and the transfer standard. Other uncertainty contributions which need to be considered may include end-loading (force introduction) effects and the influence of the operator.

D.2.2 Repeatability

The standard uncertainty related to repeatability, u_{rep} , is the standard deviation of the estimated relative mean error value:

$$u_{\text{rep}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{100}{\bar{F}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (F_j - \bar{F})^2} \right) \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where

n is the number of readings at each nominal force level;

F_j is the measured force level (units of force);

\bar{F} is the mean measured force level (units of force).

When the alternative method of testing machines classification is used (see Annex C) then

$$u_{\text{rep}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (q_i - \bar{q})^2} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

where

n is the number of readings at each nominal force level;

q_i is the measured error at the nominal force level (%);

\bar{q} is the mean measured error at the nominal force level (%).

D.2.3 Resolution

The standard uncertainty related to relative resolution, u_{res} , is derived from a rectangular distribution:

$$u_{\text{res}} = \frac{a}{2\sqrt{3}} \quad (\text{D.4})$$

D.2.4 Transfer standard

The standard uncertainty related to the transfer standard, u_{std} , is given by:

$$u_{\text{std}} = \sqrt{u_{\text{cal}}^2 + A^2 + B^2 + C^2} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where

u_{cal} is the relative standard's calibration uncertainty;

A , B , and C are, where relevant, contributions due to temperature, drift and linear approximation to the polynomial curve.

D.2.5 Expanded uncertainty

Once all the relevant standard uncertainties have been allowed for (including the other contributions mentioned above), the combined uncertainty, u_c , is multiplied by a coverage factor, k , to give the expanded uncertainty, U . It is recommended that a value of $k = 2$ be used, although k may also be calculated from the number of effective degrees of freedom. The principles laid down in [3] should be adhered to.

The estimated mean relative error, E , could reasonably be expected to lie within the range:

$$E = q \pm U \quad (\text{D.6})$$

and the mean generated force, F , can be expressed as:

$$F \approx F_i - \frac{F_i}{100}(q \pm U) \quad (\text{D.7})$$

D.3 Decremental forces

For decremental forces, the combined uncertainty, u'_c , is calculated from the uncertainty contributions of q and v . The uncertainty contribution of v is assumed to be the same as that of the incremental accuracy error q . The combined uncertainty, u'_c , is thus estimated as:

$$u'_c = \sqrt{2} \times u_c \quad (\text{D.8})$$

The combined uncertainty, u'_c , is multiplied by a coverage factor, k , to give the expanded uncertainty, U' . The estimated mean relative error, E' , could reasonably be expected to lie within the range:

$$E' = (q + v) \pm U' \quad (\text{D.9})$$

where

q is the incremental relative accuracy error;

v is the relative reversibility error;

The mean generated decremental force, F' , can be expressed as:

$$F' \approx F'_i - \frac{F'_i}{100}[(q + v) \pm U'] \quad (\text{D.10})$$

EXAMPLE:

- indicated force: 100,0 kN, resolution 0,5 kN
- measured incremental forces (runs 1 to 3): 100,1 kN, 100,8 kN, and 100,9 kN
- measured decremental force (run 4): 99,5 kN
- class 1 transfer standard ($u_{\text{std}} = 0,12\%$)
- no significant drift, temperature, or fit effects
- no significant end-loading or operator influence effects
- relative accuracy error $q = -0,60\%$: meets class 1 criteria

ISO 7500-1:2004(E)

- relative repeatability error $b = 0,80$ %: meets class 1 criteria
- relative reversibility error $v = + 1,39$ %: meets class 1 criteria
- relative resolution $a = 0,50$ %: meets class 1 criteria
- $u_{\text{rep}} = 0,25$ % (standard deviation of mean estimated error)
- $u_{\text{res}} = 0,14$ % (standard uncertainty of resolution)
- $u_{\text{std}} = 0,12$ % (standard uncertainty of standard's calibration)
- $u_{\text{c}} = 0,31$ % (root sum squares combination of above three components)
- $u'_{\text{c}} = 0,44$ % (root sum squares combination of the incremental and decremental components)
- $U = 0,62$ % (product of combined uncertainty and $k = 2$)
- $U' = 0,88$ % (product of incremental and decremental combined uncertainty and $k = 2$)

$E = (-0,60 \pm 0,62)$ % (expected range of mean incremental error)

$F \approx \left(F_i - \frac{F_i}{100}(-0,60 \pm 0,62) \right)$ kN (expected range of mean incremental force)

$E' = -0,60 + 1,39 \pm 0,88 = (0,79 \pm 0,88)$ % (expected range of mean decremental error)

$F' \approx \left(F'_i - \frac{F'_i}{100}(0,79 \pm 0,88) \right)$ kN (expected range of mean decremental force)

NOTE The above procedure results only in uncertainties of the mean accuracy error obtained during the calibration of the testing machine. It does not give the uncertainty associated with a single application of force during the calibration, nor does it represent the uncertainty of the machine during its subsequent use when many other factors are to be considered (e.g., specimen alignment, temperature drift, fixtures).

Bibliography

- [1] *International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology (VIM)*, BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, OIML, 2nd edition, 1993
- [2] International Organization of Legal Metrology (OIML) document, *Vocabulary of Legal Metrology — Fundamental Terms*, 2000
- [3] *Guide to the expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM)*, 1st edition, 1993
- [4] DIXON, M.J., Dynamic Force measurement, chapter 4, 55-80, *Materials Metrology and Standards for Structural Performance*, Ed; DYSON, B.F., LOVEDAY, M.S. and GEE, M.G., Chapman and Hall, London (1995)
- [5] SAWLA, A., *Measurement of dynamic forces and compensations of errors in fatigue testing*, Proceedings of the 12th IMEKO World Congress "Measurement and Progress", Beijing, China. Vol.2 (1991), 403-408
- [6] ISO 6892, *Metallic materials — Tensile testing at ambient temperature*
- [7] ISO 9513, *Metallic materials — Calibration of extensometers used in uniaxial testing*
- [8] ASTM E467-98a, *Standard Practice for Verification of Constant Amplitude Dynamic Forces in an Axial Fatigue Testing System*
- [9] ASTM E4-03, *Standard Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines*

